



Article Title— "Evolution v. Creation"

Article Category— Science & Christianity



Many who viewed the 2014 debate between Bill Nye, "the science guy," and Ken Ham, founder of the Creation Museum, will agree that Ham is the more skillful debater. Still, though, some are asking whether the observable facts were/are always in agreement with Ham's claims.

If you're among those people, here are just a few facts to help you begin to sort it all out—

1. If "evolution" were science, then there should be thousands of "transitional fossils" in museums and scientific laboratories throughout the world. Oops! Not even one "transitional fossil" has ever been found. Evolutionists keep hoping one will be discovered, for then they'd claim scientific "proof" that all living species "evolved" from a long, long series of primitive ancestors. (With just one tiny fragment of evidence they'd claim that...)
2. The carbon dating of rocks and bones and such is consistently unreliable, at best. False readings are the rule, not the exception. Even when the formation dates of those things are KNOWN, the carbon dating of them is all over the charts, as evidenced below. —Prentice Hall 2000, p. 264.
3. Rocks from the 1801 lava flows in Hualalai, Hawaii were carbon dated twelve times. The dates ranged from 325 million years ago to 3 billion years ago. —*Science*, 10/11/68 and *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 7/15/68
4. Rocks from the 1949-1975 lava flows from Mount Ngauruhoe in New Zealand were carbon dated at 270,000 to 3.5 million years ago. —Geochron Labs
5. Rocks from Mount St. Helens that formed between 1980-1986 were carbon dated at 500,000 to 2,000,000 years ago. —*Cen Tech Journal*, 10/2001
6. "To suppose that the eye came about through natural selection seems I must freely confess to be absurd, to the highest degree." —Charles Darwin
7. Legitimate scientists acknowledge an especially important and repeatedly observable fact: Order cannot and has never been scientifically observed to emerge from chaos. Order always deteriorates into chaos. Hence, the aging process, etc.
8. Ham's argument that Earth is just 6,000 years old is naive. There is way too much Bible evidence to the contrary. Lesson 7 of our current Online Study Series can yield marvelous insight into that for those who care to know— <https://www.link1.info/online-study>
9. "The most perfidious way of harming a cause consists of defending it deliberately with faulty arguments." —Friedrich Nietzsche

"Science" says God doesn't exist—no observable evidence, only faith. "Science" acknowledges heat and cold and light and darkness, because there is observable sensory evidence of each. The glaring flaw with that *logic* is that cold and darkness do not and cannot exist as entities unto themselves. Cold can only be sensed and measured as the relative absence of heat. Darkness can only be sensed and measured as the relative absence of light.

The theoretical process of the "evolution" of the multitude of species from a common ancestor has not and cannot be observed. Per the rules of empirical, testable, demonstrable protocol, credible scientists acknowledge that "evolution" is not science—no observable evidence, only faith. Those who teach the theory of evolution, then, are evangelical preachers.

Evil is the absence of God's love in men's hearts. It's like the cold we perceive when there is little or no heat, the darkness that falls when there is little or no light and the death that engulfs a man when his life escapes. It's tantamount to the nothingness that would exist without God.

—T. C. Newsome

PS: Comments? Questions? Scriptural rebuttals? They're all welcome! www.Link1.info/contact-us.

To participate in sensible Bible-related discussions, join us at TC's Friends Club. Here's the hot link: <https://www.facebook.com/groups/136708110091989/>

I was a successful Bible teacher for a LOT of years—big sanctuary classes.
I knew what my denomination believed and that's what I taught.
Yes, I should've checked it out to make sure it was true.

So why didn't I do that? Well, maybe I didn't do that for the same reason that you haven't really checked out what your denomination teaches.
"In religion and politics, people's beliefs and convictions are in almost every case gotten at second hand, and without examination." —Samuel Clemens

